Criteria	Final Research Report or Honors Thesis — Criteria for Evaluation					
	Beginning	Developing	Proficient	Mastery	Score	
A. Content Description of the (bio)chemical problem, relevance/significance, computational methods, (bio)chemical structures/reactions, summary of prior research, results (including well annotated figures and complete figure legends & captions), discussion, conclusions, references	Research Summary Report is unfocused, missing many elements or contains multiple factual errors	Research Summary Report would benefit from more focus; Research Summary Report contains some factual errors or omissions	Research Summary Report is adequately focused and relevant; major facts are accurate and there are few omissions	Research Summary Report is tightly focused and relevant; contains accurate information and no omissions or factual errors	/10	
B. Organization/Clarity logical ordering of ideas/sections, transitions between major points/sections	Ideas not presented in proper order; transitions are lacking between major ideas; several parts of Research Summary Report are wordy or unclear	Some ideas are not presented in proper order; transitions are needed between some ideas; some parts of the Research Summary Report are wordy or unclear	Most ideas are in logical order with adequate transitions between most major ideas; Research Summary Report is generally clear and understandable	Ideas are presented in logical order with effective transitions between major ideas; Research Summary Report is clear and concise	/10	
C. Completeness Adequate levels of detail and depth, appropriate length, adequate background information	Research Summary Report does not provide adequate depth; key details are omitted or underdeveloped; Research Summary Report is too short or too long	Additional depth needed in places; important information omitted or not fully developed; Research Summary Report is too short or too long	Research Summary Report provides adequate depth; few needed details are omitted; major ideas are adequate	Research Summary Report provides good depth and detail; ideas are well developed; facts have adequate background; Research Summary Report is within specified length	/10	
D. Grammar/Mechanics Correct grammar, spelling and word usage that is appropriate for readers	Research Summary Report contains several major grammar/ spelling/usage errors; sentences are long, incomplete or contain excessive jargon	Research Summary Report may contain some grammar/spelling or sentence errors; sentences may contain jargon or are too long or hard to follow	Research Summary Report has no serious grammar errors; sentences are mostly jargon-free, complete and understandable	Research Summary Report contains no grammar errors; sentences are free of jargon, complete and easy to understand	/10	
E. Documentation Proper support and sourcing for relevance of problem, choice of methods; inclusion of results summary and discussions (graphs/figures/tables) that support conclusions	Little or no reference support for relevance of problem or choice of method; results summary is missing or inadequate; little or no references provided	Some support provided by references and results tables/figures/graphs; references may be outdated or a few tables/figures/graphs need work	Adequate support provided for key concepts by references, and results tables/graphs/figures, references are generally adequate and current	Effective support provided in the form of facts, data, references and graphs/tables/figures; references are adequate and current	/10	
				TOTAL	/50	

Beginning	Developing	Proficient	Mastery	0
	Developing	I I UIICICIIL	Mastery	Scor
On average, spent 2 hours per week per credit hour working on the project	On average, spent < 2.5 hours per week per credit hour working on the project	On average, spent 3 hours per week per credit hour working on the project	On average, spent >3 hours per week per credit hour working on the project	/10
Sparse notebook that at a glance clearly has little to no value. Poorly organized, no indexing. Student clearly did not keep up with routine entries. Results mostly in raw/unprocessed and or scattered format. Location of raw data often unclear.	Some notebook entries that are of variable quality. Quite probable that entries for some days are missing and/or some important information is missing from some entries. Location of raw data mostly available. Results may be in graphical or tabular format but are poorly annotated and/or hard to interpret. Some entries were likely entered late. Possibly some/limited indexing.	Well organized notebook with a thorough set of entries that are properly dated and entered within a reasonable amount of time. Each entry has little if any missing information. The location of raw data is made clear and results are properly presented in graphical or tabular format as appropriate. Functionally useful indexing.	entries made in a timely fashion and are properly dated. Nothing seems missing. Raw data are made easily accessible. Results are presented in visually appealing, immediately	/10
Hardly ever wore PPE, followed safety and/or security practices.	Sometimes wore PPE, followed safety and/or security practices, but often needed reminding.	Consistently wore PPE, followed safety and/or security practices; rarely if ever needed reminding.	Always wore PPE, followed safety and/or security practices; never needed reminding.	/10
Did not develop skills that facilitated collection of data that were of worthwhile quality. Little if any data or results produced were of any value. PI still does not trust student around sensitive items or expensive equipment. No real ability to interpret data by themselves.	Developed an adequate skill set for routine tasks. Was more often than not able to collect data that were useful and	Rapidly developed a solid skill set for routine tasks. Was able to successfully execute non-routine tasks on most occasions. Almost always collected high quality, useful data. Were consistently able to interpret their own data and generally understand its implications.	Has a genuine gift for lab or computational work: Almost immediately picked up all tasks and successfully executed them. Experimental failures were rare or non-existent. Data were of high quality and almost always useful and meaningful. Almost always understood their own results and were able to interpret their meaning and implications to the point where future directions were immediately obvious to the student.	/10
Interpersonal problems and/or conflicts caused on more than one occasion. Lab meeting presentations were of such poor quality as to be considered embarrassing.	lab. 0-1 conflict incidents. Lab meeting	well with everyone in the lab.	Student knows and gets along well with everyone in the lab. No conflict incidents. Truly impressive lab meeting presentations.	/10
	On average, spent 2 hours per week per credit hour working on the project Sparse notebook that at a glance clearly has little to no value. Poorly organized, no indexing. Student clearly did not keep up with routine entries. Results mostly in raw/unprocessed and or scattered format. Location of raw data often unclear. Hardly ever wore PPE, followed safety and/or security practices. Did not develop skills that facilitated collection of data that were of worthwhile quality. Little if any data or results produced were of any value. PI still does not trust student around sensitive items or expensive equipment. No real ability to interpret data by themselves. Interpersonal problems and/or conflicts caused on more than one occasion. Lab meeting presentations	On average, spent 2 hours per week per credit hour working on the project On average, spent < 2.5 hours per week per credit hour working on the project	On average, spent 2 hours per week per credit hour working on the project On average, spent < 2.5 hours per week per credit hour working on the project On average, spent 3 hours per week per credit hour working on the project Sparse notebook that at a glance (clearly has little to no value. Poorly organized, no indexing. Student clearly dia to tkeep up with routine entries. Results mostly in raw/unprocessed and or scattered format. Location of raw data often unclear. Some notebook entries that are of variable quality. Quite probable that mostly available. Results may be in graphical or tabular format but are portly annotated and/or hard to interpret. Some entries were likely entered late. Possibly some/limited Well organized notebook with a thorough set of entries that are properly dated and entries for some entries. Location of raw data appropriate. Functionally useful indexing. Hardly ever wore PPE, followed safety and/or security practices. Sometimes wore PPE, followed safety and/or security practices, but often needed reminding. Consistently wore PPE, followed safety and/or security practices, rarely if ever needed reminding. Did not develop skills that facilitated collection of data that were of worthwile quality. Little if any data routine tasks. Was more often than not able to collect data that were useful and mostly unambiguous. Never able to interpret data by themselves. Developed an adequate skill set for value. P1 still does not rust student amostly numbiguous. Never able to interpret some but not all of their own data. Rapidly developed a solid skill set for routine tasks. Was able to successfully execute non-routine tasks. Never dota have were disch interpret their own data and generally understand its implications. <	On average, spent 2 hours per week per credit hour working on the project On average, spent 2 hours per week per credit hour working on the project On average, spent 3 hours per week per credit hour working on the project On average, spent 3 hours per week per credit hour working on the project Sparse notebook that at a glance clearly has little to no value. Poorfy arrante quality. Quite probable that more the quality. Quite probable that more there will a the quality. Quite probable that more of the another the quality did not keep up with routing metrics. Senten the quality of the quality did not keep up with routing metrics. Senten the quality of the quality did not keep up with routing metrics. Senten the quality of the quality did not keep up with routing metrics. Senten there will have the or the port of the quality and or security practices. Interest week lieft and interpretable metrics were likely entered late. Possibly some/limited in graphical or tabular format as appropriate. Functionally useful indexing. Well organized notebook. All interest week lead in a timely fashion and the property dated and interpretable metrics were likely entered late. Possibly some/limited in graphical or tabular format as appropriate. Functionally useful indexing. Hardly ever wore PPE, followed safety and/or security practices. Prove and the tweet of the quality. Little if any data did reminding. Sometimes wore PPE, followed safety and/or security practices; full of an organized notebook were needed reminding. Always wore PPE, followed safety and/or security practices; full of an organized notebook were needed reminding. Always wore PPE, followed safety and/or security practices; full of an organized notebook were needed reminding. Always wore PPE, followeed safety and/or security practices; full of an orga